

Gordon, Lisa Perras

From: Gordon, Lisa Perras
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 2:04 PM
To: Godfrey, Annie
Subject: RE: Gordon, Lisa Perras has shared 'SC WWR Options Paper'

I like that new option – and it really was similar to the great meeting we had with both last month. I will add that.

I will be interested to hear what CB and MW say on if we need to...CB has said that we didn't, but I also recall the MS issue.....I'll let them handle that one...

From: Godfrey, Annie
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 2:01 PM
To: Gordon, Lisa Perras <Gordon.Lisa-Perras@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Gordon, Lisa Perras has shared 'SC WWR Options Paper'

Lisa, good paper. I think we are required to respond to the petition based on a legal decision on the Mississippi River petition. Carol and Michele will have the details on that (if I'm correct).

How about a 4th option of working with the state and petitioner (and possibly other stakeholders) on this issue. I'm worried that we may not be able to get a petition response out even if we wanted to. A quiet workgroup might be another way to make progress.

From: Gordon, Lisa Perras [<mailto:no-reply@sharepointonline.com>]
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 1:52 PM
To: Wetherington, Michele <Wetherington.Michele@epa.gov>; Baschon, Carol <Baschon.Carol@epa.gov>; Benante, Joanne <benante.joanne@epa.gov>; Godfrey, Annie <Godfrey.Annie@epa.gov>; Cooper, Jamal <cooper.jamal@epa.gov>
Cc: Gordon, Lisa Perras <Gordon.Lisa-Perras@epa.gov>
Subject: Gordon, Lisa Perras has shared 'SC WWR Options Paper'

Oops. THIS is the link to the options paper. Typing too fast today...

Open [SC WWR Options Paper.docx](#)

See more related to [Gordon, Lisa Perras](#) in Delve.



Get the SharePoint mobile app!

